The problem is not that licensed amateurs don't identify properly, (although it does sometimes happen). The real problem is with unlicensed stations, who of course never identify. Why doesn't the Commission use its direction-finding facilities to locate these illegal operators? Instead, by considering only the number of complaints filed, and by failing to imple-ment any mechanism to examine the *merits* of complaints filed against amateurs, the Enforcement Bureau essentially encourages amateurs to file false complaints against their fellow amateurs! Then all Mr. Hollingsworth has to do is look that amateur up in the database and send him a letter threatening to take actions he is not legally authorized to do. Mr. Hollingsworth has become a laughing stock. He has publicly stated that he intends to enforce an "Amateur Code of Conduct" that has no foundation whatsoever in Part 97, and is thus totally unenforceable. The Commission needs to decide whether it is going to do amateur enforcement or not; preferably not. Let the amateurs settle their own problems. We have always been considered to be a self-policing radio service. But if the Enforcement Bureau does intend to do amateur enforcement on a consistent basis, it needs to D.F. the illegal operators rather than taking the facile approach of trying to blame the intentional interference on licensed operators. And Mr. Hollingsworth needs to learn some law and stop threatening amateurs with illegal enforcement action.